Inclusive Scattering from Nuclei at x > 1 and High Momentum Transfer

> Donal Day University of Virginia For the E02–019 Collaboration

QNP06, Madrid, June 2006

Outline

- Introduction
- Physics Background and Motivation
- The Experiment
- Analysis
- Preliminary Results
- Outlook

Inclusive Quasielastic and Deep Inelastic Scattering at High Momentum Transfers

Two distinct processes





Quasielastic from the nucleons in the nucleus



Inelastic, Deep Inelastic from the quark constituents of the nucleon.

Inclusive final state does means no separation of two dominant processes



DIS

Nonetheless there is a rich, if complicated, blend of nuclear and fundamental QCD interactions available for study from these types of experiments.

 $n(k) = \int dE \ S(k, E)$

Spectral function

The two processes share the same initial state

QES in PWIA $\frac{d^2\sigma}{dQd\nu} \propto \int d\vec{k} \int dE\sigma_{ei} S_i(k, E) \delta()$

Spectral function However they have very different Q² dependencies σ_{ei} goes as the elastic (form factor)² $W_{1,2}$ scale with $ln Q^2$ dependence Exploit this Q² dependence

 $\frac{d^{2}\sigma}{dQd\nu} \propto \left[d\vec{k} \right] dE W_{1,2}^{(p,n)} S_{i}(k,E),$



The quasielastic peak (QE) is broadened by the Fermi-motion of the struck nucleon.

The quasielastic contribution dominates the cross section at low energy loss (v) even at moderate to high Q^2 .

- The shape of the low v cross section is determined by the momentum distribution of the nucleons.
- As the momentum transfer increases inelastic scattering from the nucleons begins to dominate
- We can use Q² as a knob to dial the relative contribution of QES and DIS.

Scaling

- Scaling refers to the dependence of a cross section, in certain kinematic regions, on a single variable. If the data scales in the single variable then it validates the assumptions about the underlying physics and scale-breaking provides information about conditions that go beyond the assumptions.
- Scaling of DIS at SLAC in 1960's in terms of the Bjorken x-variable provided evidence for the existence of quarks.

In a proton (or neutron), $x = Q^2 / 2m\nu$ is restricted < 1 as single quark can carry, at most, the total momentum of the proton.

- At moderate Q² inclusive data from nuclei has been well described in terms y-scaling, one that arises from the assumption that the electron scatters from a quasi-free nucleons.
- We expect that as Q² increases we should see for evidence (x-scaling) that we are scattering from a quark that has obtained its momenta from interactions with partons in other nucleons. These are super-fast quarks.

y-scaling in inclusive electron scattering from ³He



Assumption: scattering takes place from a quasi-free proton or neutron in the nucleus.

y is the momentum of the struck nucleon parallel to the momentum transfer: $y \approx -q/2 + m\nu/q$

x and ξ scaling

An alternative view is suggested when the data (deuteron) is presented in terms of scattering from individual quarks



$$\nu W_2^A = \nu \cdot \frac{\sigma^{exp}}{\sigma_M} \left[1 + 2\tan^2(\theta/2) \cdot \left(\frac{1 + \nu^2/Q^2}{1 + R}\right) \right]^{-1}$$



The Nachtmann variable (fraction ξ of nucleon light cone momentum p⁺) has been shown to be the variable in which logarithmic violations of scaling in DIS should be studied.

Local duality (averaging over finite range in x) should also be valid for elastic peak at x = 1 if analyzed in ξ

$$F_2^A(\xi) = \int_{\xi}^{A} dz F(z) F_2^n(\xi/z)$$
averaging

Physics Topics

- Momentum distributions and the spectral function S(k,E).
- Scaling of the structure functions or 'reduced' cross sections in (x, y, $\xi,\,\psi')$
- Short Range Correlations
- Medium Modifications -- tests of EMC
- Parton Recombination
- Color Transparency
- Bloom--Gilman Duality
- Structure Function Q² dependence and Higher Twists

6 GeV at Jefferson Lab allows new kinematic range to be explored



E02-019 Details



- •E02-019 finished in late 2004 in Hall C at Jefferson Lab. Used a beam energy of 5.77 GeV and currents up to 80µA
- •Cryogenic Targets: H, ²H, ³He, ⁴He
- Solid Targets: Be, C, Cu, Au
- Spectrometers: HMS and SOS
- •Angles: 18, 22, 26, 32, 40, 50
- •Ran concurrently with E03-103



Hall C – HMS









Analysis

- Nadia Fomin (UVa)
- Jason Seely (MIT)
- Aji Daniel (Houston)
- Roman Trojer (Basel)

Calibrations

- Calorimeter
- Drift Chambers
- TOF

Two methods



Four graduate students, organized by D. Gaskell (JLAB)

Every student maintained separate analysis code, which gives us 4 cross sections to compare. Two experiments took data together E02-019 & E03-103

Corrections

- Bin-centering
- Charge-symmetric background subtraction
- Radiative Corrections
- E-loss Corrections
- Acceptance Corrections
- Target Boiling Corrections

Preliminary Results - Deuteron



v₩2

Comparison to Theory



F(y)

Preliminary Results – ³He



Preliminary Results – ¹²C



Preliminary Results – ¹⁹⁷Au



Convergence of F(y) at fixed y with Q^2



Final State Interactions cause F(y,q) to converge from above with increasing q, not below as should be in PWIA. FSI in higher Q^2 data are diminished

Short Range Correlations



- Short distance behavior
- Inside nuclear volume
- FSI are unavoidable, generated by the interactions responsible for SR behavior
- MEC, isobars have same dynamical source as the SRC

Short Range Correlations

For a nucleon at rest, x < 1as x = 1 is the elastic limit

For e-A scattering x is not so restricted; x > j-1where j is the number of nucleons coming together. Recall for $k = k_F$, $x \le 1.2$.

- $x > 1 \Rightarrow 2$ nucleons close together
- $x > 2 \Rightarrow 3$ nucleons close together

Further, when j nucleons are close together the A-j nucleons have little influence.

The Spectral Function with a high-k nucleon can be represented as a sum over 2,3 ... nucleon correlations; one must account for the CM motion of the correlation.



In the region where correlations should dominate, large x,

$$\sigma(x,Q^2) = \sum_{j=1}^{A} A \frac{1}{j} a_j(A) \sigma_j(x,Q^2)$$
$$= \frac{A}{2} a_2(A) \sigma_2(x,Q^2) + \frac{A}{3} a_3(A) \sigma_3(x,Q^2) + \frac{$$

 $a_j(A)$ are proportional to finding a nucleon in a j-nucleon correlation. It should fall rapidly with j as nuclei are dilute.

$$\sigma_{2}(x,Q^{2}) = \sigma_{eD}(x,Q^{2}) \text{ and } \sigma_{j}(x,Q^{2}) = 0 \text{ for } x > j.$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{2}{A} \frac{\sigma_{A}(x,Q^{2})}{\sigma_{D}(x,Q^{2})} = a_{2}(A) \Big|_{1 < x \le 2} \qquad \text{In the effective} \\ \frac{3}{A} \frac{\sigma_{A}(x,Q^{2})}{\sigma_{A=3}(x,Q^{2})} = a_{3}(A) \Big|_{2 < x \le 3}$$

In the ratios, offshell effects and FSI largely cancel.



To Do List

- Coulomb Corrections
- Model Refinements
- Create Ratios of Heavy/Light nuclei
 - Correlations
- Nuclear Matter Extrapolation
- Examine details of scaling behaviors
 - extract information on n(k)
 - Evidence for duality?
- Structure Function Q² dependence

Inclusive DIS at x > 1 at 12 GeV

- New proposal for next JLAB PAC
- Extend measurements to large enough Q² to fully suppress the quasielastic contribution
- Extract structure functions at x > 1
- $Q^2 \approx 20$ at x=1, $Q^2 \approx 12$ at x = 1.5

E02-019 Collaboration

J. Arrington (spokesperson), L. El Fassi, K. Hafidi, R. Holt, D.H. Potterveld, P.E. Reimer, E. Schulte, X. Zheng Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL B. Boillat, J. Jourdan, M. Kotulla, T. Mertens, D. Rohe, G. Testa, R. Trojer Basel University, Basel, Switzerland B. Filippone (spokesperson) California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA C. Perdrisat College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA D. Dutta, H. Gao, X. Qian Duke University, Durham, NC W. Boeglin Florida International University, Miami, FL M.E. Christy, C.E. Keppel, S. Malace, E. Segbefia, L. Tang, V. Tvaskis, L. Yuan Hampton University, Hampton, VA G. Niculescu, I. Niculescu James Madison University, Harrisonburg, VA P. Bosted, A. Bruell, V. Dharmawardane, R. Ent, H. Fenker, D. Gaskell, M.K. Jones, A.F. Lung (spokesperson), D.G. Meekins, J. Roche, G. Smith, W.F. Vulcan, S.A. Wood

Jefferson Laboratory, Newport News, VA

B. Clasie, J. Seely Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA J. Dunne Mississippi State University, Jackson, MS V. Punjabi Norfolk State University, Norfolk, VA A.K. Opper Ohio University, Athens, OH F. Benmokhtar Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ H. Nomura Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan M. Bukhari, A. Daniel, N. Kalantarians, Y. Okayasu, V. Rodriguez University of Houston, Houston, TX T. Horn, Fatiha Benmokhtar University of Maryland, College Park, MD D. Day (spokesperson), N. Fomin, C. Hill, R. Lindgren, P. McKee, O. Rondon, K. Slifer, S. Tajima, F. Wesselmann, J. Wright University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA R. Asaturyan, H. Mkrtchyan, T. Navasardyan, V. Tadevosyan Yerevan Physics Institute, Armenia S. Connell, M. Dalton, C. Gray

University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

http://faculty.virginia.edu/qes-archive/index.html

	Quasielastic Electron Nucleus Scattering Archive
Home page	
Data	Welcome to Quasielastic Electron Nucleus Scattering Archive
Table & Notes	In connection with a review article (Quasielastic Electron-Nucleus Scattering, by O. Benhar, D. Day and I. Sick) to be submitted to Reviews of Modern Physics, we have collected here an extensive set of quasielastic electron scattering data in order to preserve and make available these data to the nuclear physics community.
Utilities	
Bibliography	
Acknowledgements	We have chosen to provide the cross section only and not the separated response functions. Unless explicitly indicated the data do not include Coulomb corrections.
	Our criteria for inclusion into the data base is the following:
	 Data published in tabular form in journal, thesis or preprint. Radiative corrections applied to data. No known or acknowledged pathologies
	At present there are about 600 different combinations of targets, energies and angles consisting of some 19,000 data points.
	In the infrequent event that corrections were made to the data after the original publications, we included the latest data set, adding an additional reference, usually a private communication.
	As additional data become known to us, we will add to the data sets.
	If you wish to be alerted to changes in the archive or to the inclusion of new data, send an email to <u>me</u> . Send any comments or corrections you might have as well.

 $\sigma_2(x,Q^2) = \sigma_{eD}(a,Q^2)$ and $\sigma_j(x,Q^2) = 0$ for x > j.